UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/577,809	04/28/2006	Engbert Wilmink	251993	6980
	7590 06/23/200 C& MAYER, LTD	EXAMINER		
TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900			FAULK, DEVONA E	
180 NORTH STETSON AVENUE CHICAGO, IL 60601-6731			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/577,809	WILMINK, ENGBERT
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	DEVONA E. FAULK	2614
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	ne correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply to dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABAND	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 2a) ■ This action is FINAL . 2b) ■ This action is application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condition is in condition.	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matters,	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 3-20 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Example 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 28 April 2006 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the	lrawn from consideration. d/or election requirement. iner. a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected	•
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr		•
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Of	tice Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a I	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appli riority documents have been rec eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Sumn Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/577,809 Page 2

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Remarks

1. The applicant has amended the claims and with the amendment has overcome the 112 rejection set forth in the previous office action.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 3-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 2 are r ejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Svean et al. (US 7,039,195) in view of Hardman (US 4,651,777).

Regarding claim 1, Svean discloses an earplug comprising:

a plug member for blocking a person's ear canal, said plug member comprising at least one acoustic channel for channeling incoming acoustic energy into said person's ear (implicit since this is an earplug; Figure 1);

a detector for detecting an acoustic energy level or for detecting a control signal that is indicative of an acoustic energy level to be received; (M1, Figure 1; see abstract; olumn 4, line 24-36);

Art Unit: 2614

an acoustic valve (V, Figure 1) positioned in the acoustic channel.

Svean fails to teach that a control unit that, in response to <u>an acoustic level sensed by</u> said detector, controls <u>actuation</u> of said acoustic valve <u>between a pass-through position</u> with a low attenuation and an attenuating position.

The concept of a valve being actuated by a control unit is taught by Hardman (see abstract; column 4, lines 52-64).

The examiner asserts that even though Hardman is a different field, that know work in one field of endeavor can prompt variations of it for use in another field. The modification of Svean by using a control to actuate the valve would therefore be reasonable to one skilled in the art.

All elements of claim 2 are comprehended by the rejection of claim 1

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DEVONA E. FAULK whose telephone number is (571)272-7515. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 am - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on 571-272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/577,809 Page 4

Art Unit: 2614

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Devona E. Faulk/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2614